Less can be more: loss of MHC functional diversity can reflect adaptation to novel conditions during fish invasions

Catalina Monzón-Argüello^{1,2}, Carlos Garcia de Leaniz¹, Gonzalo Gajardo³ & Sofia Consuegra²

¹Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

²IBERS, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DA, United Kingdom

³Laboratorio de Genética, Acuicultura y Biodiversidad, Universidad de Los Lagos, Osorno, Chile

Keywords

Aquaculture escapes, biological invasions, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, rainbow trout, rapid evolution, selection.

Correspondence

Sofia Consuegra, IBERS, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DA, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0) 1970 628775; Fax: +44 (0)1970 622350; E-mail: skc@aber.ac.uk

Funding Information

Funding for this study was provided by a DEFRA Darwin Initiative "Reducing the Impact of Exotic Aquaculture on Chilean Aquatic Biodiversity" (Grant No. 162/15/020) and a post-project award "Protecting galaxiids from salmonid invasions in Chile and the Falklands" (Grant No. EIDPOC 041).

Received: 5 May 2013; Revised: 26 June 2013; Accepted: 1 July 2013

doi: 10.1002/ece3.701

Abstract

The ability of invasive species to adapt to novel conditions depends on population size and environmental mismatch, but also on genetic variation. Away from their native range, invasive species confronted with novel selective pressures may display different levels of neutral versus functional genetic variation. However, the majority of invasion studies have only examined genetic variation at neutral markers, which may reveal little about how invaders adapt to novel environments. Salmonids are good model systems to examine adaptation to novel pressures because they have been translocated all over the world and represent major threats to freshwater biodiversity in the Southern Hemisphere, where they have become invasive. We examined patterns of genetic differentiation at seven putatively neutral (microsatellites) loci and one immune-related major histocompatibility complex (MHC class II- β) locus among introduced rainbow trout living in captivity (farmed) or under natural conditions (naturalized) in Chilean Patagonia. A significant positive association was found between differentiation at neutral and functional markers, highlighting the role of neutral evolutionary forces in shaping genetic variation at immune-related genes in salmonids. However, functional (MHC) genetic diversity (but not microsatellite diversity) decreased with time spent in the wild since introduction, suggesting that there was selection against alleles associated with captive rearing of donor populations that do not provide an advantage in the wild. Thus, although high genetic diversity may initially enhance fitness in translocated populations, it does not necessarily reflect invasion success, as adaptation to novel conditions may result in rapid loss of functional MHC diversity.

Introduction

Human-mediated global change is causing the local extinction of some species but also the spread of others, resulting in novel species interactions and changes in ecosystem functioning. However, we are still far from understanding why some introduced species become invasive or whether successful invaders are also the most capable of transforming ecosystems (Wardle et al. 2011). Invasive species can trigger dramatic changes in native biodiversity, both at community and ecosystem levels and represent one of the main threats to global biodiversity (Gurevitch and Padilla 2004; Carroll 2007; Randi 2008). Understanding what makes some species flourish in novel habitats and become invasive has become a central question in conservation biology (Sakai et al. 2001). In most cases, invaders are able to persist at small initial population sizes before expanding and becoming invasive (Novak 2007). The initial invasion phase represents a critical time for the adaptation of species to local conditions (Sakai et al. 2001), and invaders may pass through demographic bottlenecks until suitable environmental conditions arise (Prentis et al. 2008). The ability of founders to adapt to novel conditions may depend on population size and environmental mismatch, but also on levels of genetic variation (Garcia-Ramos and Rodriguez 2002; Bell and

© 2013 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gonzalez 2009). Away from their native range, invasive species are likely to be confronted with novel selective pressures and may be expected to display different rates of neutral versus functional genetic variation to those of donor populations. However, the majority of invasion studies have only examined genetic variation at neutral markers (Uller and Leimu 2011), and the roles of neutral versus nonneutral (functional) genetic variation remain poorly known. Knowledge of genetic variation at neutral markers may not accurately predict adaptive potential or fitness (Reed and Frankham 2001, 2003) and may reveal little about how organisms adapt to novel habitats (Ashley et al. 2003). Neutral genetic variation is typically only weakly correlated with evolutionary potential (Leimu et al. 2006), and the scarcity of invasion studies employing functional markers makes it difficult to understand the relationship between genetic variation, establishment success, and fitness. For example, gene flow could facilitate establishment by bringing new variants that could counteract genetic diversity typically lost in small founder populations (Kolbe et al. 2008; Verhoeven et al. 2011), but it can also slow down adaptations to novel conditions, potentially reducing fitness of invaders (Kinnison et al. 2007). Clearly, better knowledge of how neutral and functional genetic variation affect establishment success is necessary for managing emerging threats to global biodiversity posed by an increasingly large number of invasive species.

Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is one of the most widely translocated invasive fishes in the world, having been introduced to 90 different countries and being now present in all continents except Antarctica (Casal 2006). The species was originally introduced to Chile and other parts of the Southern Hemisphere for recreational fishing in the early 1900s (Basulto 2003; Garcia de Leaniz et al. 2010). This was followed by a second wave of invasions in the late 1980s with the exponential growth of the Chilean salmon industry (Gajardo and Laikre 2003). Nowadays, rainbow trout are established throughout most of Chilean Patagonia, where they tend to outgrow and outcompete native galaxiid fishes (Young et al. 2009, 2010).

In order to gain a better understanding of the role of genetic variation in determining establishment success during biological invasions, we examined variability at neutral loci (microsatellites) and at a functional, immune-related locus (major histocompatibility complex, MHC class II- β) among translocated rainbow in Chilean Patagonia. Along with sexual selection, pathogen-driven natural selection is involved in maintaining MHC diversity in vertebrates (Piertney and Oliver 2006; Dionne 2009; Spurgin and Richardson 2010), so combining a study of functional and neutral genetic markers should provide a better insight into the adaption of invasive species confronted with novel

selection pressures. Our expectation was that, unlike in the northern hemisphere where salmonids are endemic and natural populations are often locally adapted (Garcia de Leaniz et al. 2007a,b; Fraser et al. 2011), exotic salmonids in the southern hemisphere should display a full adaptation continuum, depending on age and origin of populations. Thus, these should range from maladapted donor populations still held in captivity, to recent invaders only briefly exposed to natural conditions, to fully naturalized fish which have been living in the wild for several generations. Under the influence of natural selection, we would expect more functional (MHC) than neutral (microsatellites) differentiation among these groups. Such a scenario provides a rare opportunity to examine the patterns of rapid evolution during human-mediated range expansions (Uller and Leimu 2011) and to examine the roles of neutral and functional genetic variation in determining establishment success.

Material and Methods

Study populations

We analyzed 305 rainbow trout, including 211 wild (freeliving) fish caught by single-pass electrofishing at 10 different rivers (stream order 1–3, and 100–150 m within high tide) and 94 farmed fish collected at four nearby fish farms in the Los Lagos Region of Chilean Patagonia during 2007–2009 (Fig. 1). These had been previously genotyped for seven microsatellites, and free-living individuals were genetically classified into three distinct groups according to admixture analysis: (a) naturalized (n = 85), (b) recent escapees (n = 33), and (c) hybrids between escapees and naturalized trout (n = 92) as described in Consuegra et al. (2011).

Amplification, sequencing, and alignment of MHC class II fragments

A 237 base pair (bp) fragment of the exon 2 of the MHC class II- β gene, including part of the peptide-binding region (PBR), was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the forward primer B1RA (Miller et al. 1997) and a new reverse primer OnmyDAB_R4 (5'-TGTCCAGTATGG CGCTGTAG-3') designed from alignments of genomic DNA sequences of rainbow trout deposited in GenBank (GenBank Accession No. U34714.1–U34715.1). Approximately 80 ng of extracted DNA was used in 20 μ L PCR mixes containing 0.2 μ mol/L of each primer, 0.25 mmol/L of dNTPs, 0.5 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, U.K.), 1× buffer, and 2 mmol/L MgCl₂. Thermal conditions consisted of 5 min initial denaturation cycle (94°C) followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 57°C,



Figure 1. Sampling locations of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) populations in Chile. Free-living and farm populations are represented by open and closed circles, respectively. Each pie chart represents the proportion of escapees (black), hybrids (gray), and naturalized (white) trout across the 10 free-living populations. Map created using Maptool (http://www.seaturtle.org/maptool).

1 min at 72°C, and a final extension cycle of 10 min at 72°C. Amplified fragments were directly sequenced using the same PCR primers and resolved in a 3130 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The resulting sequences were aligned using BioEdit 7.0.5.3 (Hall 1999) and compared with previously described rainbow trout sequences. Sequences that had not been previously described were cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning[®] Kit for Sequencing (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and six clones per individual were selected for forward and reverse sequencing.

Genetic variation and population differentiation at functional (MHC) and microsatellite loci

Levels of neutral variation were estimated from the set of microsatellites previously amplified in Consuegra et al. (2011). For both microsatellites and MHC class II- β loci, allelic richness (*AR*) was calculated for the 14 study populations (10 free living and four captive) using the individual rarefaction method in PAST (Hammer et al. 2001) with a minimum sample size of 13 individuals. Observed and expected heterozygosities (*H*_o and *H*_e), as well as departures from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, were assessed using Arlequin 3.5. We employed analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Arlequin 3.5) to explore

how MHC variation compared between free-living and captive populations. The relationship between population diversity at MHC and neutral markers was investigated by examining the magnitude and significance of the Pearson correlation coefficient at AR and H_o and the extent of genetic admixture was calculated using Pielou's *J*' evenness index (Pielou 1966) as estimated in Consuegra et al. (2011).

We compared the genetic diversity (AR and heterozygosity) at microsatellite and MHC markers grouping the trout according to the time spent from introduction (captive fish, escapees, hybrids, and naturalized fish). AR (including 95% confidence intervals) was estimated in PAST using an adjusted sample size of 30 individuals/group. Differences in allelic diversity between pairs of groups were determined by resampling 1000 times with replacement a population with the same size as the smallest of the pair (Swanson et al. 2006). Differences in H_0 among the four groups were tested using FSTAT 2.9.3.2 with 10,000 permutations, and group differentiation was assessed by pairwise F_{ST} and exact tests of allelic frequencies in Arlequin. We used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to compare patterns of change in genetic diversity (AR and H_0) between both types of markers in relation to time spent as free living in the wild. To determine which MHC class II- β alleles were contributing most to group separation we used the SIM-PER permutation approach (Clarke 1993) implemented in

PRIMER 5.2.9 (Plymouth Marine Laboratory, U.K.) with contributing thresholds of 50%.

Signatures of selection

Evidence of selection at MHC class II- β was examined via three codon-based approaches, comparing the relative abundance of synonymous to nonsynonymous sequence substitutions using MEGA v5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011), and using the fixed-effects likelihood (FEL) method with a significance level of 0.05 and the random-effects likelihood (REL) method with a minimum Bayes factor of 95 (Data-Monkey; Wayne et al. 2010). In order to avoid the potential confounding effect of recombination, the presence of intragenic recombination was assessed using the single-breakpoint (SBP) analysis also implemented in DataMonkey.

Results

Genetic variation at neutral and functional markers

A total of 37 MHC class II- β alleles were identified, 12 of which represent novel sequences (GenBank Accession No. JN836529–JN836540; Fig. S1). Number of alleles per population ranged from k = 5 to k = 20 (Table 1). Genotype frequencies were in agreement with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in all populations after sequential Bonferroni correction (lowest P = 0.035). AR and observed heterozygosity (H_0) were not statistically different between captive and free-living trout (AR, P = 0.702; H_o P = 0.343; Table 1). MHC AR was positively correlated with microsatellite AR, regardless of whether all individuals (r = 0.763, P = 0.002; Fig. 2A) or only free-living populations were considered (r = 0.795, P = 0.006). In addition, MHC AR was positively correlated with the extent of population admixture (J') in all cases (all individuals r = 0.817, P < 0.001; free-living individuals r = 0.927, P < 0.001), suggesting that populations with higher neutral diversity and multiple genetic origins were also more diverse at the MHC class II locus.

Genetic differentiation at microsatellite and MHC loci

Genetic differentiation ($F_{\rm ST}$) based on MHC class II- β was 0.093 among all samples (P < 0.001). Differentiation was higher among trout living in captivity than among free-living populations (farm $F_{\rm ST} = 0.126$; free-living $F_{\rm ST} = 0.070$), with pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ values ranging from 0.004 to 0.215 (Table S1). AMOVA analyses of free-living trout indicated that 2.8% of variation was explained by differences between locations, 5.7% was explained by differ-

ences among populations, and 91.6% of the variation was the result of differences within populations.

Signatures of selection

A total of 11 private MHC alleles were identified, eight in captive trout and one in each of the three free-living groups (Fig. S2). We found significant differences in MHC allelic diversity between hybrid and farmed trout (P = 0.030) and between naturalized and farmed trout (P = 0.001) but not between any of the other pairs (P > 0.9). MHC *AR* was lower in farmed fish than in escapees and then decreased with increasing time as free living (i.e., escapee *AR*>hybrid>naturalized; Table 1) and 95% confidence intervals did not overlap between naturalized fish and farmed or escaped trout (Fig. 3).

Significant differences in Ho at the MHC locus were also detected among the four groups (P = 0.033; Table 1) and, as with AR, Ho decreased with time spent in the wild although with a different pattern from AR, from 0.97 for escapees to 0.81 for hybrids and 0.83 for naturalized trout. A high proportion of H_0 was lost in only one generation (from escapees to F1 hybrids). In contrast, no significant differences in AR ($F_{3,28} = 0.273$, P = 0.844) or heterozygosity ($F_{3,28} = 0.861$, P = 0.473) were detected among the four trout groups for neutral microsatellite loci, suggesting that diversity at these markers could be less affected by the time spent in the wild. ANCOVA analyses comparing the patterns of variation with time spent as free living between both markers revealed that the slopes between both markers were significantly different for AR (F = 168.5 P = 0.006) but not for H_0 (F = 0.606, P = 0.518). All pairwise comparisons at microsatellite loci revealed significant genetic differentiation between the four groups of fish (F_{ST} and exact tests of allelic differentiation; Table 2). Differentiation at the MHC locus was significant between farmed and naturalized trout, but hybrids were not significantly different from escapees, or from fully naturalized trout (Table 2). In general, differentiation increased with time spent in the wild as free living for both neutral and functional markers. Results from SIMPER analysis indicate that most of the separation among the four groups of fish is mainly due to frequency differences at nine alleles (Table S2). Thus, alleles that were dominant among farmed trout (e.g., alleles 4 and 6) were less common among naturalized trout, whereas alleles that were frequent among naturalized trout (e.g., alleles 5 and 7) were absent among farmed fish and displayed intermediate frequencies among escapees and hybrid fish (Fig. 4).

The *Z*-test rejected the hypothesis of neutrality at the MHC class II- β locus (dN/dS = 4.2, one-tailed *P* = 0.002) and both the FEL and REL methods identified several

Table 1. Diversity indices for the MHC class $II-\beta$ locus and seven microsatellite (Microsat) markers in (A) 14 rainbow trout populations of Chilean Patagonia (including 10 free-living populations and four farms) and (B) individuals grouped according to the time spent in the wild (farmed, escapes, hybrids, and naturalized) based on admixture analysis.

(A) Free-Iving populations and farms Encanto MHC IIβ 23 11 9.118 0.913 0.865 0.470 Microsat 7.429 (2.225) 6.412 (1.567) 0.737 (0.139) 0.750 (0.092) 0.750 (0.092) Nilque MHC IIβ 23 12 9.255 0.826 0.850 0.216 Microsat 6.714 (1.380) 6.135 (1.030) 0.615 (0.171) 0.760 (0.046) 0.760 Pescadero MHC IIβ 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.824 0.681 Bi-Corrent MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.941 0.914 0.977 Altoy MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Microsat 7.714 (2.870) 7.478 (2.618) 0.767 (0.179) 0.836 0.992 Microsat 7.714 (2.430) 6.425 (2.179) 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.119) Boito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.363			Ν	Κ	AR	H _o	H _e	J'
Encanto MHC IIβ 23 11 9.118 0.913 0.865 0.470 Nilque MHCrosat 7.429 (2.225) 6.412 (1.567) 0.737 (0.139) 0.750 (0.092) 0.216 Pescadero MHC IIβ 23 12 9.255 0.826 0.850 0.216 Pescadero MHC IIβ 24 16 12.752 0.958 0.928 0.817 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.455 (1.740) 0.643 (0.166) 0.753 (0.072) 0.824 0.668 U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 9.422 0.842 0.828 0.697 U23 MHC IIβ 16 12 11.822 0.813 0.913 0.875 Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.929 Pangal MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.830 (0.069) 0.764 (0.119) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.363	(A) Free-living pop	oulations and fari	ms					
Nikrosat 7.429 (2.225) 6.412 (1.567) 0.737 (0.139) 0.750 (0.092) Nikque MHC IIβ 23 12 9.255 0.826 0.850 0.216 Pescadero MHC IIβ 24 16 12.752 0.958 0.928 0.817 Bi-Corrent MHC IIβ 24 16 12.752 0.958 0.824 0.824 0.668 Bi-Corrent MHC IIβ 17 14 0.2410 0.941 0.977 0.779 (0.196) 0.744 (0.153) U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.941 0.913 0.977 Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 1.282 0.813 0.913 0.913 0.836 0.992 Aitoy MHC IIβ 24 12 9.177 0.779 (0.179) 0.836 0.932 Pangal MHC IIβ 24 9 7.498 (2.618) 0.792 0.836 0.932 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.499 (2.693 0	Encanto	MHC ΙΙβ	23	11	9.118	0.913	0.865	0.470
Nilque MHC IIβ 23 12 9.255 0.826 0.850 0.216 Pescadero MHC IIβ 24 16 12.752 0.958 0.928 0.817 BI-Corrent MHC IIβ 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.643 (0.186) 0.753 (0.072) 0.645 U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.941 0.914 0.977 Aitor Microsat - 7.286 (3.352) 7.023 (2.929) 0.739 (0.155) 0.798 (0.077) Aitor MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.767 (0.179) 0.830 (0.069) 0.764 (0.119) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 (0.061) 0.922 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.727 0.836 (0.161) 0.044 Microsat - 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109)		Microsat		7.429 (2.225)	6.412 (1.567)	0.737 (0.139)	0.750 (0.092)	
Microsat 6.714 (1.380) 6.135 (1.030) 0.615 (0.171) 0.760 (0.046) Pescadero MHC (I)β 24 16 12.752 0.988 0.928 0.817 BI-Corrent MHC (I)β 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.824 0.668 U23 MHC (I)β 17 14 0.2410 0.941 0.914 0.977 Microsat 7.286 (3.352) 7.023 (2.929) 0.739 (0.165) 0.798 (0.077) 0.813 0.913 0.875 Microsat 7.714 (2.870) 7.478 (2.618) 0.767 (0.179) 0.830 (0.069) 0.992 Microsat 6.714 (2.430) 6.425 (2.179) 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.19) 0.766 (0.065) 0.992 Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.901) 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 (0.069) 0.768 (0.069) 0.768 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.768 (0.069) 0.768 (0.091) 0.768 (0.069) 0.724 0.794 0.004 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.756	Nilque	MHC ΙΙβ	23	12	9.255	0.826	0.850	0.216
Pescadero MHC ΙΙβ 24 16 12.752 0.958 0.928 0.817 BI-Corrent MHC IIβ 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.824 0.668 Microsat 6.875 (2.545) 6.253 (1.991) 0.627 (0.196) 0.744 (0.153) 0.977 U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.941 0.914 0.913 0.875 Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Bonito MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.901) 0.690 (0.089) 0.764 (0.169) 0.764 (0.169) 0.768 (0.065) 0.769 0.792 0.822 0.216 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.794 0.004 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869)		Microsat		6.714 (1.380)	6.135 (1.030)	0.615 (0.171)	0.760 (0.046)	
Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.455 (1.744) 0.643 (0.186) 0.753 (0.072) Bi-Corrent MHC IIβ 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.842 0.824 0.668 U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.941 0.914 0.977 Altoy MHC IIβ 16 12 7.028 (3.352) 7.023 (2.929) 0.739 (0.165) 0.798 (0.077) Altoy MHC IIβ 16 12 1.1.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 12 0.7478 (2.618) 0.767 (0.179) 0.830 (0.069) 0.764 (0.119) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 (0.050) 0.768 (0.019) 0.764 (0.119) 0.216 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 5 9.950 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.764 (0.19) 0.766 (0.093) 0.764 (0.19) 0.766 (0.09	Pescadero	MHC II β	24	16	12.752	0.958	0.928	0.817
BI-Corrent MHC IIβ 20 11 9.422 0.842 0.824 0.668 U23 MHC IIβ 17 6.875 (2.545) 6.253 (1.991) 0.627 (0.196) 0.744 (0.153) U23 MHC IIβ 17 2.86 (3.352) 7.023 (2.929) 0.739 (0.165) 0.798 (0.077) Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.830 (0.069) Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 2.6393 0.875 0.885 (0.069) 0.992 Pangal MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 (0.069) 0.768 (0.19) 0.768 (0.05) Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.704 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.109) 0.726 (0.10		Microsat		7.429 (2.507)	6.455 (1.744)	0.643 (0.186)	0.753 (0.072)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	BI-Corrent	MHC II β	20	11	9.422	0.842	0.824	0.668
U23 MHC IIβ 17 14 12.410 0.914 0.914 0.914 0.977 Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 1.282 0.739 (0.165) 0.778 (0.077) 0.875 Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 1.282 0.813 0.913 0.913 0.875 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Microsat 6.714 (2.430) 6.425 (2.179) 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.119) 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.832 0.201 Gol-Gol Microsat 7.143 (2.795 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.794 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.799 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.595 (0.216) 0.838 (0.191) Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331		Microsat		6.875 (2.545)	6.253 (1.991)	0.627 (0.196)	0.744 (0.153)	
Microsat 7.286 (3.352) 7.023 (2.929) 0.739 (0.165) 0.798 (0.077) Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Pangal MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 (0.069) 0.768 (0.119) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 (0.069) 0.768 (0.077) Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.830 (0.089) 0.716 (0.080) Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.561 (0.033) 0.738 (0.204) 0.555 (0.109)	U23	MHC II β	17	14	12.410	0.941	0.914	0.977
Aitoy MHC IIβ 16 12 11.282 0.813 0.913 0.875 Pangal Microsat 7.714 (2.870) 7.478 (2.618) 0.767 (0.179) 0.830 (0.069) 7.992 Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.179) 0.836 0.636 Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.791) 0.699 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 0.666 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.666 0.768 0.699 0.768 0.666 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.606 0.768 0.606 0.792 0.768 0.604 0.606 0.792 0.756 0.688 0.607 0.725 0.766 0.616 0.758 0.756 0.768 0.790 0.725 0.766 0.758 0.758 0.758 0.758 0.787 0.75		Microsat		7.286 (3.352)	7.023 (2.929)	0.739 (0.165)	0.798 (0.077)	
Microsat 7.714 (2.870) 7.478 (2.618) 0.767 (0.179) 0.830 (0.069) Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.633 Gol-Gol Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.901) 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 (0.068) Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.758 (0.199) 0.794 0.004 Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) 0.094 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.996 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) 0.726 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.094) 0.791 (0.199 (0.179) 0.791 (0.199 (0.199)	Aitoy	MHC ΙΙβ	16	12	11.282	0.813	0.913	0.875
Pangal MHC IIβ 16 14 12.693 0.875 0.885 0.992 Microsat 6.714 (2.430) 6.425 (2.179) 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.119) 0.768 (0.057) Bonio MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.836 0.363 Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.901) 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.716 (0.080) Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.829 0.004 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.704 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.595 0.888 (0.191) 0.904 Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.576 Farm 3	5	Microsat		7.714 (2.870)	7.478 (2.618)	0.767 (0.179)	0.830 (0.069)	
Microsat 6.714 (2.430) 6.425 (2.179) 0.739 (0.137) 0.764 (0.119) Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.363 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.768 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.764 Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.528 (0.191) 0.064 Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) 0.718 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.914 0.928 0.555	Pangal	MHC ΙΙβ	16	14	12.693	0.875	0.885	0.992
Bonito MHC IIβ 24 12 9.197 0.727 0.836 0.363 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) 0.216 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.690 (0.89) 0.768 (0.109) 0.768 (0.065) Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.794 0.004 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.588 (0.191) 0.794 0.004 Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071 749 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 24 1 9.497 0.566 0.859 0.201		Microsat		6.714 (2.430)	6.425 (2.179)	0.739 (0.137)	0.764 (0.119)	
Microsat 7.714 (2.628) 6.592 (1.901) 0.690 (0.089) 0.768 (0.065) Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.704 0.004 Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.794 0.004 Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) 0.004 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.657 (0.248) 0.793 (0.090) 0.790 (0.091) Ibindroiduals groupe by time s	Bonito	MHC II β	24	12	9.197	0.727	0.836	0.363
Gol-Gol MHC IIβ 24 9 7.409 0.792 0.822 0.216 Microsat 7.143 (2.795) 5.906 (1.869) 0.678 (0.109) 0.716 (0.080) 0.004 Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.794 0.004 Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) 0.004 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 1 8.357 (1.464) 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.480 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.480 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.555 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.482 (2.024) 0.756 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 1 9.497 0.566 0.859 0.555 Microsat 7.429 (2		Microsat		7.714 (2.628)	6.592 (1.901)	0.690 (0.089)	0.768 (0.065)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Gol-Gol	MHC II β	24	9	7.409	0.792	0.822	0.216
Cendoya MHC IIβ 24 5 4.988 0.792 0.794 0.004 Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) 0.004 Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.848 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.790 (0.094		Microsat		7.143 (2.795)	5.906 (1.869)	0.678 (0.109)	0.716 (0.080)	
Microsat 4.857 (1.464) 4.283 (1.100) 0.554 (0.266) 0.588 (0.191) Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.790 (0.094) 0.790 (0.191 (0.191	Cendoya	MHC II β	24	5	4.988	0.792	0.794	0.004
Farm 1 MHC IIβ 24 13 9.458 0.958 0.839 0.004 Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.753 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 8.429 (3.952) 7.348 (2.977) 0.760 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.09		Microsat		4.857 (1.464)	4.283 (1.100)	0.554 (0.266)	0.588 (0.191)	
Microsat 7.286 (2.289) 6.188 (1.929) 0.696 (0.179) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.928 0.555 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 8.429 (3.952) 7.348 (2.977) 0.760 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.725 (0.109) Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.956 0.8559 0.201 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0.790 (0.094) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) (B) Individuals groupe by time spent in the wild 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.100 0.753 (0.124) 0.753 (0.124) 0	Farm 1	MHC II β	24	13	9.458	0.958	0.839	0.004
Farm 2 MHC IIβ 24 11 8.331 0.833 0.738 0.480 Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) 0.928 0.555 Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 8.429 (3.952) 7.348 (2.977) 0.760 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.753 (0.216) 0.753 (0.209) Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.956 0.859 0.201 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) (B) Individuals grouper by time spent in the wild 94 29 19.807 (0.452) 0.914 -		Microsat		7.286 (2.289)	6.188 (1.929)	0.696 (0.179)	0.725 (0.109)	
Microsat 7.429 (2.507) 6.822 (2.024) 0.753 (0.216) 0.814 (0.071) Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 8.429 (3.952) 7.348 (2.977) 0.760 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.201 Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.956 0.859 0.201 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (0.090) (B) Individuals grouped by time spent the wild 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) (B) Individuals grouped by time spent the wild 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) (B) Individuals grouped by time spent the wild 7.429 (2.637) 19.807 (0.452) 0.914 - - Farmed MHC IIβ 94 29 19.807 (0.452) 0.914 - - Iscappee MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Microsat 10 (4.761	Farm 2	MHC II β	24	11	8.331	0.833	0.738	0.480
Farm 3 MHC IIβ 22 20 14.506 0.909 0.928 0.555 Microsat 8.429 (3.952) 7.348 (2.977) 0.760 (0.093) 0.790 (0.094) 0.201 Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.956 0.859 0.201 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0.753 (B) Individuals grouped by time spent in the wild 7.429 (2.637) 19.807 (0.452) 0.914 - - (B) Individuals grouped by time spent in the wild 11.571 (6.133) 9.745 (4.063) 0.717 (0.159) - - Farmed MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Microsat 10 (4.761) 9.862 (4.605) 0.712 (0.111) - - - Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - Microsat 11.571 (5.68		Microsat		7.429 (2.507)	6.822 (2.024)	0.753 (0.216)	0.814 (0.071)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Farm 3	MHC II β	22	20	14.506	0.909	0.928	0.555
Farm 4 MHC IIβ 24 11 9.497 0.956 0.859 0.201 Microsat 7.429 (2.637) 6.495 (2.117) 0.657 (0.248) 0.753 (0.090) 0		Microsat		8.429 (3.952)	7.348 (2.977)	0.760 (0.093)	0.790 (0.094)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Farm 4	MHC II β	24	11	9.497	0.956	0.859	0.201
		Microsat		7.429 (2.637)	6.495 (2.117)	0.657 (0.248)	0.753 (0.090)	
Farmed MHC IIβ 94 29 19.807 (0.452) 0.914 - - Microsat 11.571 (6.133) 9.745 (4.063) 0.717 (0.159) - - Escapee MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) - -	(B) Individuals gro	ouped by time spe	ent in the v	vild				
Microsat 11.571 (6.133) 9.745 (4.063) 0.717 (0.159) - Escapee MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Hybrid MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) - -	Farmed	MHC ΙΙβ	94	29	19.807 (0.452)	0.914	_	_
Escapee MHC IIβ 33 23 22.313 (0.188) 0.970 - - Microsat 10 (4.761) 9.862 (4.605) 0.712 (0.111) - Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) - -		Microsat		11.571 (6.133)	9.745 (4.063)	0.717 (0.159)	-	
Microsat 10 (4.761) 9.862 (4.605) 0.712 (0.111) - Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) - -	Escapee	MHC II β	33	23	22.313 (0.188)	0.970	_	_
Hybrid MHC IIβ 92 25 17.473 (0.428) 0.810 - - Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) -		Microsat		10 (4.761)	9.862 (4.605)	0.712 (0.111)	_	
Microsat 11.571 (5.682) 9.351 (3.944) 0.673 (0.124) - - - Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) - -	Hybrid	MHC II β	92	25	17.473 (0.428)	0.810	_	_
Naturalized MHC IIβ 85 20 13.630 (0.390) 0.833 - Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) -		Microsat		11.571 (5.682)	9.351 (3.944)	0.673 (0.124)	_	_
Microsat 10.857 (5.014) 8.712 (3.115) 0.658 (0.118) -	Naturalized	MHC II β	85	20	13.630 (0.390)	0.833	_	
		Microsat		10.857 (5.014)	8.712 (3.115)	0.658 (0.118)	_	

N, sample size; K, number of observed alleles; AR, allelic richness; H_o , observed heterozygosity; H_e , expected heterozygosity; and J', Pielou's J' evenness population admixture index; BI-Corrent, Blanco-Correntoso. Standard deviation values are in brackets.

sites to be under selection. FEL identified three positively selected and two negatively selected sites, whereas REL found 18 positively selected (three of them coincident with those identified by FEL) and two negatively selected sites. Of these, codon 47 was also identified as a potential recombination breakpoint.

Discussion

Human-mediated species translocations are widely recognized as one of the main threats to global biodiversity (Gurevitch and Padilla 2004), but what makes invasions successful remains controversial. The role of genetic diversity in the successful establishment of introduced populations has long been discussed (e.g., Frankham 2005; Roman and Darling 2007), but the discussion has largely focused on variation at neutral markers, which does not always predict invasion success well (Uller and Leimu 2011). We suggest that one possible explanation of this inability to predict invasions is because novel selective pressures result in different rates of genetic variation at functional and neutral markers. We compared genetic



Figure 2. Relationship between MHC class II- β allelic richness (*AR*). Open and closed circles represent free-living and farm populations, respectively.



Figure 3. Allelic richness curves (*AR*; A) and 95% CI estimated using PAST for farmed, escapees, hybrids, and naturalized rainbow trout.

variation at neutral microsatellite loci and an immunerelated locus in invasive rainbow trout, a widely translocated species ranked by the IUCN among the world's 100 worst aquatic invaders. Although we found that neutral evolutionary forces (e.g., genetic drift and migration) play an important role in shaping immune-related MHC class II- β variation in rainbow trout during invasions, we also found evidence suggesting that natural selection could be acting on this locus. In this sense, several codons were

Table 2. Pairwise F_{ST} values for seven microsatellite loci (above diagonal) and MHC class II- β (below diagonal) among trout grouped according to the time spent in the wild (farmed, escapee, hybrid, and naturalized).

	Farmed	Escapee	Hybrid	Naturalized
Farmed	-	0.012	0.033	0.063
Escapee	0.012 ¹	_	0.011	0.027
Hybrid	0.029 ¹	0.005	_	0.013
Naturalized	0.048 ¹	0.023 ¹	0.005	-

Significant values after sequential Bonferroni correction (P = 0.012) are in bold.

¹Significant pairwise comparisons based on the exact test of population differentiation.

identified to be under positive selection based on rates of nonsynonymous versus synonymous substitutions, three of them coincident between two different tests. Diversifying selection acting on the MHC could reflect the first stages of adaptation to local conditions, perhaps in response to pathogen-mediated selective regimes (Landry and Bernatchez 2001; Miller et al. 2010; Fraser et al. 2011), but could also be reflecting molecular signatures of selection generated in the original populations before the introduction, as they may take very long time to disappear even in the absence of selection (Garrigan and Hedrick 2003).

MHC allelic frequencies varied significantly depending on the time that fish had spent in the wild, and functional MHC (but not microsatellite) *AR* declined with increasing time spent in the wild as free living. Thus, rainbow trout held in captivity, as well as those that had recently escaped from aquaculture facilities (as evidenced from admixture analysis, Consuegra et al. 2011) were more similar in MHC allele frequencies to F_1 farmed × free-living hybrids than they were to naturalized trout that had spent one or more generations in the wild. In contrast, all groups of trout differed significantly in microsatellite allelic frequencies, driven by neutral forces.

Population structuring analyses, particularly those based on F_{ST} , can be problematic and must be interpreted with caution in invasive populations given that the assumption of equilibrium between mutation, drift, and gene flow may not be applicable (Fitzpatrick et al. 2012). However, in addition to MHC allele frequency variation, we also found changes in allelic composition with time spent as free living. Eight of the 11 MHC private alleles were detected among fish living in captivity, suggesting that alleles represented among free-living fish are only a subsample of those present in the farms. But we also found alleles present in the escapes and absent in the farms that are likely to have originated from farms not sampled in this study, suggesting that the number of alleles present among farmed fish but absent among naturalized trout could be even higher than



Figure 4. Frequency distribution of MHC class II- β alleles in farmed trout (black), recent escapees (dark gray), hybrids (light gray), and naturalized fish (white). Only the most discriminating alleles are shown (threshold value = 50%). All pairwise comparisons were significantly different except between hybrids and escapees and between hybrids and naturalized fish.

our estimates. The strong differentiation observed between captive and free-living fish, as well as the similarity between hybrids and naturalized trout at the MHC class II- β locus might be indicative of selection. Selection may also explain the observed loss of MHC AR from escapees to naturalized trout, and perhaps account for the fact that most private alleles were found only among captive fish. Much of the heterozygosity was also lost from escapees to hybrids, suggesting that a large proportion of escapees may never reproduce in the wild, and that only a fraction of these may contribute genes to the hybrid generation. However, despite the small effective population size of naturalized rainbow trout populations in Chile ($N_{\rm e} < 100$; Consuegra et al. 2011), they seemed capable of maintaining high levels of MHC class II- β variation (AR and heterozygosity), similar to those reported for natural populations at the species' native range (e.g., Aguilar and Garza 2006). This is in agreement with recent studies which also found high MHC variability among exotic salmonids in the region (Conejeros et al. 2011; Gómez et al. 2011). The positive association between MHC AR (but not heterozygosity) and population admixture suggests that populations founded from multiple origins not only display higher levels of neutral genetic diversity (Consuegra et al. 2011) but also higher variability at the MHC class II- β locus.

Thus, although sampling error and bottleneck effects may also account for the observed loss of diversity if only a small number of rainbow trout escaped from fish farms, this is unlikely to have been the case. Salmonid escapes in the region are substantial (Arismendi et al. 2009; Consuegra et al. 2011) and diversity at microsatellite markers did not vary with time spent in the wild, only MHC diversity did. Likewise, it could be argued that the observed differences in MHC composition between captive and naturalized fish may be due to temporal changes in broodstock, but our samples were collected from several populations over a relatively large area and during several years, and we found little evidence of temporal instability at microsatellite level.

Specific MHC alleles seem to confer resistance to a number of salmonid diseases (Langefors et al. 2001; Palti et al. 2001; Slierendrecht et al. 2001; Lohm et al. 2002; Grimholt et al. 2003), and disease resistance might explain better some of the MHC changes observed in our study. Salmonids in Chile are exposed to a suite of novel pathogens and diseases (Cabello 2007; Burridge et al. 2010; Torres et al. 2010) and some private alleles were clustered together, suggesting potential functional similarity (Fig. S2). Thus, the observed MHC differentiation between farmed and naturalized trout could have been the result of selection against some farm alleles that do not provide an advantage in the wild, possibly in relation to differences in mate choice and pathogen resistance between cultured and wild salmonids (e.g., Consuegra and Garcia de Leaniz 2008). The analysis of pathogen prevalence among the different trout groups with additional immune-related genes should help to test this hypothesis and further research appears warranted.

In summary, our results indicate that there is a significant decrease in MHC diversity and differentiation (but not in neutral variation) among invasive rainbow trout with increasing time spent in the wild. We suggest that despite the potential effects of bottlenecks and genetic drift associated with invasion and the massive number of escapees and continuous introgression of domesticated trout (Consuegra et al. 2011), pathogen-related selection and/or assortative mate choice might also play a role maintaining specific MHC alleles in naturalized populations. Thus, even if high genetic diversity can initially enhance fitness in translocated populations, it might not necessarily reflect invasion success if part of the functional genetic diversity was rapidly lost when invasive species adapt to novel conditions, a hypothesis that deserves further investigation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Kyle Young, Hector Venegas, Patricia Beristain, Jose Sanzana, Anita Cerda, Gabriel Orellana, and Delphine Vanhaecke and several volunteers for collecting the samples in Chile; Amy Ellison, Kirsten Skot, and Candida Nibau for help with laboratory 'analyses; and Nuria Varo for statistical advice. Funding for this study was provided by a DEFRA Darwin Initiative "Reducing the Impact of Exotic Aquaculture on Chilean Aquatic Biodiversity" (Grant No. 162/15/020) and a postproject award "Protecting galaxiids from salmonids invasions in Chile and the Falklands" (Grant No. EIDPOC 041; http://www. biodiversity.cl) to C. G. L., G. G., and S. C. with additional support from the University of Los Lagos (Chile). C. M. A. was funded by Fundación Alfonso Martín Escudero (Spain).

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Aguilar, A., and J. C. Garza. 2006. A comparison of variability and population structure for major histocompatibility complex and microsatellite loci in California coastal steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum). Mol. Ecol. 15:923–937.
- Arismendi, I., D. Soto, B. Penaluna, C. Jara, C. Leal, and J., León-Muñoz. 2009. Aquaculture, non-native salmonid invasions and associated declines of native fishes in Northern Patagonian lakes. Freshw. Biol. 54:1135–1147.
- Ashley, M. V., M. F. Willson, O. R. W. Pergams, D. J. O'Dowd, S. M. Gende, and J. S. Brown. 2003. Evolutionarily enlightened management. Biol. Conserv. 111:115–123.
- Basulto, S. 2003. El largo viaje de los salmones: una crónica olvidada. Propagación y cultivo de especies acuáticas en Chile. Maval Limitada Editores, Santiago, Chile.
- Bell, G., and A. Gonzalez. 2009. Evolutionary rescue can prevent extinction following environmental change. Ecol. Lett. 12:942–948.
- Burridge, L., J. S. Weis, F. Cabello, J. Pizarro, and K..Bostick. 2010. Chemical use in salmon aquaculture: a review of current practices and possible environmental effects. Aquaculture 306:7–23.
- Cabello, F. C. 2007. Acuicultura y salud pública. La expansión de la difilobotriasis en Chile y el mundo. Rev. Méd. Chile 135:1064–1071.
- Carroll, S. P. 2007. Natives adapting to invasive species: ecology, genes, and the sustainability of conservation. Ecol. Res. 22:892–901.
- Casal, C. 2006. Global documentation of fish introductions: the growing crisis and recommendations for action. Biol. Invasions 8:3–11.
- Clarke, K. R. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. Aust. J. Ecol. 18:117–143.

- Conejeros, P. A., C. Calderon, D. Gomez, L. Nilo, and S. H. Marshall. 2011. High immune diversity in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Aquacult. Int. 19:999–1005.
- Consuegra, S., and C. Garcia de Leaniz. 2008. MHC-mediated mate choice increases parasite resistance in salmon. Proc. Biol. Sci. 275:1397–1403.
- Consuegra, S., N. Phillips, G. Gajardo, and C. Garcia de Leaniz. 2011. Winning the invasion roulette: escapes from fish farms increase admixture and facilitate establishment of non-native rainbow trout. Evol. Appl. 4:660–671.
- Dionne, M. 2009. Pathogens as potential selective agents in the wild. Mol. Ecol. 18:4523–4525.
- Fitzpatrick, B., J. Fordyce, M. Niemiller, and R. G. Reynolds. 2012. What can DNA tell us about biological invasions? Biol. Invasions 14:245–253.
- Frankham, R. 2005. Invasion biology-resolving the genetic paradox in invasive species. Heredity 94:385.
- Fraser, D. J., L. K. Weir, L. Bernatchez, M. M. Hansen, and E. B. Taylor. 2011. Extent and scale of local adaptation in salmonid fishes: review and meta-analysis. Heredity 106:404–420.
- Gajardo, G., and L. Laikre. 2003. Chilean aquaculture boom is based on exotic salmon resources: a conservation paradox. Conserv. Biol. 17:1173–1174.
- Garcia de Leaniz, C., I. A. Fleming, S. Einum, E. Verspoor, W. C. Jordan, S. Consuegra, et al. 2007a. A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic salmon: implications for conservation. Biol. Rev. 82:173–211.
- Garcia de Leaniz, C., I. A. Fleming, S. Einum, E. Verspoor,
 S. Consuegra, W. C. Jordan, et al. 2007b. Local adaptation.
 Pp. 200–239 *in* E. Verspoor, L. Stradmeyer and J. Nielsen,
 eds. The Atlantic salmon: genetics, conservation and
 management. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Garcia de Leaniz, C., G. Gajardo, and S. Consuegra. 2010. From best to pest: changing perspectives on the impact of exotic salmonids in the southern hemisphere. Syst. Biodivers. 8:447–459.
- Garcia-Ramos, G., and D. Rodriguez. 2002. Evolutionary speed of species invasions. Evolution 56:661–668.
- Garrigan, D., and P. W. Hedrick. 2003. Detecting adaptive molecular polymorphism: lessons from the MHC. Evolution 57:1707–1722.
- Gómez, D., P. Conejeros, S. Consuegra, and S. H. Marshall. 2011. MHC mediated resistance to *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in salmonids farmed in Chile. Aquaculture 318:15–19.
- Grimholt, U., S. Larsen, R. Nordmo, P. Midtlying,
 S. Kjoeglum, A. Storset, et al. 2003. MHC polymorphism and disease resistance in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*); facing pathogens with single expressed major histocompatibility class I and class II loci. Immunogenetics 55:210–219.
- Gurevitch, J., and D. K. Padilla. 2004. Are invasive species a major cause of extinctions? Trends Ecol. Evol. 19: 470–474.

Hall, T. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser. 41:95–98.

Hammer, O., D. Harper, and P. Ryan. 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software for education and data analysis. Palaeontol. Electrónica 4:1–9.

Kinnison, M. T., A. P. Hendry, and C. A. Stockwell. 2007. Contemporary evolution meets conservation biology II: impediments to integration and application. Ecol. Res. 22:947–954.

Kolbe, J. J., A. Larson, J. B. Losos, and K. de Queiroz. 2008. Admixture determines genetic diversity and population differentiation in the biological invasion of a lizard species. Biol. Lett. 4:434–437.

Landry, C., and L. Bernatchez. 2001. Comparative analysis of population structure across environments and geographical scales at major histocompatibility complex and microsatellite loci in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Mol. Ecol. 10:2525–2539.

Langefors, Å., J. Lohm, M. Grahn, and O. Andersen, T. von Schantz. 2001. Association between major histocompatibility complex class IIB alleles and resistance to *Aeromonas salmonicida* in Atlantic salmon. Proc. Biol. Sci. 268:479–485.

Leimu, R., P. Mutikainen, J. Koricheva, and M.Fischer. 2006. How general are positive relationships between plant population size, fitness and genetic variation? J. Ecol. 94:942–952.

Lohm, J., M. Grahn, A. Langefors, O. Andersen, A. Storset, and T.von Schantz. 2002. Experimental evidence for major histocompatibility complex-allele-specific resistance to a bacterial infection. Proc. Biol. Sci. 269:2029–2033.

Miller, K. M., R. E. Withler, and T. D. Beacham. 1997. Molecular evolution at *Mhc* genes in two populations of chinook salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*. Mol. Ecol. 6:937–954.

Miller, H. C., F. Allendorf, and C. H. Daugherty. 2010. Genetic diversity and differentiation at MHC genes in island populations of tuatara (*Sphenodon* spp.). Mol. Ecol. 19:3894–3908.

Novak, S. J. 2007. The role of evolution in the invasion process. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 104:3671–3672.

Palti, Y., K. M. Nichols, K. I. Waller, J. E. Parsons, and G. H. Thorgaard. 2001. Association between DNA polymorphisms tightly linked to MHC class II genes and IHN virus resistance in backcrosses of rainbow and cutthroat trout. Aquaculture 194:283–289.

Pielou, E. C. 1966. Measurement of diversity in different type of biological collections. J. Theor. Biol. 13:131–144.

Piertney, S. B., and M. K. Oliver. 2006. The evolutionary ecology of the major histocompatibility complex. Heredity 96:7–21.

Prentis, P. J., J. R. U. Wilson, E. E. Dormontt, D. M. Richardson, and A. J. Lowe. 2008. Adaptive evolution in invasive species. Trends Plant Sci. 13:288–294. Randi, E. 2008. Detecting hybridization between wild species and their domesticated relatives. Mol. Ecol. 17:285–293.

Reed, D. H., and R. Frankham. 2001. How closely correlated are molecular and quantitative measures of genetic diversity: a meta-analysis. Evolution 55:1095–1103.

Reed, D. H., and R. Frankham. 2003. Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. Conserv. Biol. 17:230–237.

Roman, J., and J. A. Darling. 2007. Paradox lost: genetic diversity and the success of aquatic invasions. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22:454–464.

Sakai, A. K., F. W. Allendorf, J. S. Holt, D. M. Lodge, J. Molofsky, K.A. With et al. 2001. The population biology of invasive species. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 32: 305–332.

Slierendrecht, W. J., N. J. Olesen, H. R. Juul-Madsen, N. Lorenzen, M. Henryon, P. Berg, et al. 2001. Rainbow trout offspring with different resistance to viral haemorrhagic septicaemia. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 11:155– 167.

Spurgin, L. G., and D. S. Richardson. 2010. How pathogens drive genetic diversity: MHC, mechanisms and misunderstandings. Proc. Biol. Sci. 277:979–988.

Swanson, B. J., L. R. Peters, and C. J. Kyle. 2006. Demographic and genetic evaluation of an American marten reintroduction. J. Mammal. 87:272–280.

Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, N. Masatoshi, and S. Kumar. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2731–2739.

Torres, P., J. C. Quintanilla, M. Rozas, P. Miranda, R. Ibarra, and M. F. San Martín. 2010. Endohelminth parasites from salmonids in intensive culture from southern Chile. J. Parasitol. 96:669–670.

Uller, T., and R. Leimu. 2011. Founder events predict changes in genetic diversity during human-mediated range expansions. Glob. Change Biol. 17:3478–3485.

Verhoeven, K. J. F., M. Macel, L. M. Wolfe, and A. Biere. 2011. Population admixture, biological invasions and the balance between local adaptation and inbreeding depression. Proc. Biol. Sci. 278:2–8.

Wardle, D. A., R. D. Bardgett, R. M. Callaway, and W. H. Van der Putten. 2011. Terrestrial ecosystem responses to species gains and losses. Science 332:1273–1277.

Wayne, D., A. F. Poon, S. D. W. Frost, and S. L. K. Pond. 2010. Datamonkey 2010: a suite of phylogenetic analysis tools for evolutionary biology. Bioinformatics 26:2455–2457.

Young, K. A., J. F. Stephenson, A. Terreau, A. F. Thailly, G. Gajardo, and C. Garcia de Leaniz. 2009. The diversity of juvenile salmonids does not affect their competitive impact on a native galaxiid. Biol. Invasions 11:1955–1961.

Young, K. A., J. B. Dunham, J. F. Stephenson, A. F. Thailly, G. Gajardo, and C. Garcia de Leaniz. 2010. A trial of two

trouts: comparing the impacts of rainbow and brown trout on a native galaxiid. Anim. Conserv. 13:399–410.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Predicted protein sequence alignment of the 37 rainbow trout MHC class II- β sequences found in this study. A dot indicates amino acid identity with the consensus sequences. GenBank accession numbers are provided in brackets.

Figure S2. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of predicted protein sequences of the 37 rainbow trout MHC class II- β alleles. Phylogenetic reconstruction and bootstrap values were obtained using a *P*-distance model in MEGA 5

(Tamura et al. 2011). Private alleles are denoted by: *farmed trout private alleles; **escaped trout private alleles; ***hybrid trout private alleles; and ****naturalized trout private alleles.

Table S1. Pairwise population differentiation (F_{ST}) among Chilean rainbow trout populations for seven microsatellites (above diagonal) and the MHC class II- β locus (below diagonal). Significant values after sequential Bonferroni correction (P = 0.004) are in bold. B. Corren., Blanco-Correntoso.

Table S2. Alleles accounting for >50% of overall dissimilarity, ranked according to their contribution (C%: cumulative percentage), among the four groups (farmed, escapee, hybrid, and naturalized fish) based on SIMPER analysis (Clarke 1993).